

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3278 of 2016
Date of decision : May 9, 2016

M/s Jagdish Raj & Bros.

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The prayer in the present revision petition is against the award dated 28.12.2008 an application under Section 14 and 17 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1940(hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') for making award rule of the court is pending adjudication for seven years. This fact is fortified from perusal of the zimni orders. Even objections under Section 30 of the Act are also stated to be pending and the matter is being adjourned for one reason or the other.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts, particularly when the application is pending since 6.1.2009, which fact is evident from the zimni orders, I am of the view that appropriate

direction is required to be issued to the trial court to decide the application/objection, if any, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

The revision petition stands disposed of.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

May 9 , 2016
archana