

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3269 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 25, 2016

Smt. Raj Rani & others

...Petitioners

Versus

Sushil Kumar Sharma

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the parties agree that a temporary electricity connection has been released in the name of the respondent-tenant.

Counsel for the respondent-tenant states that the temporary electricity connection charges are quite high and therefore, he would like to avail regular electricity connection. Counsel for the petitioners states that he would have no objection to the request made by the counsel for the respondent-tenant.

In view of the above and in the light of the order dated 18.05.2016 passed by this Court, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous. Disposed of as such with liberty to the respondent-tenant to apply for the regular electricity connection which shall be considered by the Competent Authority and if permissible in law and the respondent-tenant fulfills the requisites as per the rules/regulations/instructions of the authority, respondent-tenant may avail of this benefit.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

October 25, 2016

Harish

**Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**