

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R NO. 3171 OF 2013 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 11.05.2016**

M/s New J. S. Industries Co. and another
...Petitioners
versus

Harbhajan Singh
...Respondent

Present : Mr. S. K. Pipat, Senior Advocate with
Mr. M. K. Pundir, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed against the impugned order dated 11.03.2013 passed by the Rent Controller evicting the petitioner from the demised premises.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, states that the petitioners will not press this petition on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 01.07.2017, without forcing the respondent to file an execution petition.

Learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions,
has stated that in view of the above said statement made by

learned counsel for the petitioners, the respondent would not claim any more rent from the petitioners provided that the petitioners will file an undertaking to the above effect before the Executing Court within one month, with the condition that in the event of any default, this petition should be deemed to be dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has accepted this condition.

This revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

MAY 11, 2016
shalini