

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.325 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision.19.01.2016

Manav Samaj Kalyan Kenera Bharat Shakha, Kurukshetra
.....Petitioner

Vs.

Harish Kumar and others
.....Respondents

Present: Mr. S.S. Momi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no justification for the petitioner to arrive to this Court against the order closing his evidence. It will be open to the petitioner to approach the trial Court itself for reopening the case by setting out the reason as to why he could not be present and why several opportunities which had been availed to, could not be fruitfully put to use. If such an application is filed, the court below will consider the same and pass appropriate orders in the light of the decision passed by this Court in **Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others 2013 (1) PLR 404.**

2. The revision petition is disposed of with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 19, 2016
Pankaj*