

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.3228 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.05.2016**

Ramesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Radhey Shyam

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision petition has been filed against the order dated 02.05.2016 whereby the warrant of possession has been issued for 11.05.2016.

Learned counsel states that even though the petitioner had undertaken to pay the rent by the 7th of every month in advance yet there was only a marginal delay of 2-3 days on two occasions. He further states that in any case the petitioner is liable to vacate the premises on or before 13.09.2016 and is ready to deposit the entire rent upto that date in lumpsum

amounting to Rs.14,000/- and the respondent can be compensated by way of costs.

I find this to be a fair request. Resultantly, in case the petitioner deposits an amount of Rs.14,000/- plus Rs.20,000/- as costs, he would be permitted to stay in the premises as per the judgment and order of this Court dated 14.09.2015 till 13.09.2016.

Resultantly, the petition is allowed in the above terms and the impugned order is set aside. However, in case of any default this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

A copy of this order be given to the learned counsel for the petitioner under the signature of the Bench Secretary.

May 06, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**