

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3173 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: April 6, 2016

Dinesh Chand Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

Laxmi Narayan Mangla

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate, for
Mr. Vishwajeet Singh, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner says that the suit has come for final stage of arguments and the order of injunction could be allowed to continue till the disposal of the suit. Having regard to the stage at which the trial is poised for disposal, I do not think it is necessary for this Court to be detained for consideration on merits and dispose of the revision reiterating interim direction given that there shall be no sale till the disposal of the suit. This direction shall be subject to the outcome of the civil suit.

April 6, 2016
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE