

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3172 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 22, 2016

State Bank of India

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Lalji Hosiery Mills and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. RK Jindal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The respondents No. 1 and 2 have been served through their brother. Service deemed sufficient.
2. The execution brought by a creditor bank was dismissed on the ground that steps were not taken to serve the judgment debtors. The execution was brought after 12 years and, therefore, fresh application will be barred. The order already passed is set aside and the executing court is directed to issue notice again to the judgment debtors on the steps which the bank will take to such address as is furnished to the court.
3. The revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

March 22, 2016
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE