

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.3136 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 04.05.2016**

Sadhu Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Surinder Kumar Arya and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. S.K. Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the orders dated 31.03.2016 and 28.04.2016 rejecting the prayer for withdrawing the warrants of attachment and ordering the sale.

Learned counsel states that the petitioner shall pay half the amount on the date fixed in the Executing Court which is stated to be 07.05.2016 and the remaining half on or before 31.05.2016 in the Executing Court.

In view of the aforesaid undertaking, I find it appropriate to

grant this request and direct the Executing Court to allow him upto 31.05.2016 to pay the amount in the above terms.

Allowed as prayed for. The petition stands allowed and the impugned orders are set aside. Needless to say that in the event of any default this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 04, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**