

**IN THE COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

C.R No. 2863 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 18, 2016.

Dinesh Kumar & Ors.

..... Petitioners

Versus

Shankar Lal & Ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present:- Mr. C.B.Goel, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. S.K.Tripathi, Advocate, for respondents No.1 & 2.

-.-

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.KANNAN J

The revision petition is brought at the instance of a third party, who sought for impleadment in a suit for injunction brought at the instance of plaintiff claiming the property as belonging to him as a heir to his father, who had also obtained a decree in the Civil Court. The application was moved on a plea that he was the owner, but presented no document in assertion in his claim. The plaintiff, who seeks an injunction against any defendant, will have the suit adjudged on his own assertion of his right to land and possession and if the petitioner has any independent right, he will do so by filing a separate suit and will not cause a needless hurdle to the course of trial.

The dismissal is justified and there is no ground for interference. The revision petition is dismissed.

January 18, 2016
tripti

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE