

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 3116 of 2015

Date of Decision: 01.06.2016

Majid

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Mohd. Yakub

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Shekher Dhawan.

- | | | |
|----|---|------------|
| 1. | Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? | Yes |
| 2 | To be referred to reporters or not? | |
| 3 | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes |

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Present petition under Section 115 CPC for setting aside order dated 13.3.2015, passed by learned District Judge, Mewat whereby application, filed by the petitioner/appellant under Order 41 Rule 3A CPC, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the respondent submitted that execution petition has already been disposed of by the Court below having been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that possession of the property is still with the present petitioner and as such present petition has not become infructuous.

In view of the fact that present petition is challenge to the order dated 13.3.2015, passed by learned District Judge, Mewat and execution petition was filed in pursuant to that. The said execution petition has already been disposed of by the Court below as having been rendered infructuous. As such, present petition is not maintainable and the same also stands dismissed.

(Shekher Dhawan)
Judge

June 01, 2016
“DK”