

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 2820 of 2014(O&M)

Date of decision: February 16,2016

Kalpana Garg

.....Petitioner

VS.

M/s SRS Real Infrastructure Limited and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwalia, DAG Haryana
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

K.KANNAN,J(Oral)

1. In a case instituted by a real estate agent that there shall be no obstruction caused by the defendants, who are respondent Nos. 2 and 3 from putting up any construction, a 3rd party sought an impleadment contending that the nature of construction which plaintiff wants shall not be permitted. The counsel for respondents points out that the petitioner has actually filed his own suit and the matter has been referred to the Arbitrator. His own rights, therefore would be governed in the manner sought by him before the Arbitrator and cannot be

duplicated in civil proceedings. The rejection of the application for impleadment is, therefore, required to be sustained.

2. The revision petition is dismissed.

FEBRUARY 16, 2016
 Rajeev

(K.KANNAN)
 JUDGE