

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

-.-

Civil Revision 3067 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 02.05.2016

Om Parkash and others

.....*Petitioners*

versus

Jai Lal (since deceased) and others

---*Respondents*

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Arun Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners contends that a serious prejudice is likely to be caused to the petitioners in case they are not permitted to be heard in the appeal against the final decree pending before the Court of Additional District Judge, Rewari.

As the petitioners have not been impleaded as a party in the proceedings that culminated in preliminary decree that has attained finality upto this Court as well as in the proceedings for passing of final decree, the petitioners shall not be bound by a decree passed by the Court and, therefore, they shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

Disposed of accordingly.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

02.05.2016
mohan bimbra