

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

240

Civil Revision No.2806 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 15, 2016

Satinder Kaur Bagga alias Raksha Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Kamaljit Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Sachdev, Advocate
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondent states that the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous as the respondent-tenant has vacated the premises under Section 13-B of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949.

Counsel for the petitioner states that he has no instructions in this regard, however, in the light of the statement made by the counsel for the respondent, the present revision petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to file an application for revival in case the statement made by the counsel for the respondent is found to be incorrect.

July 15, 2016
Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**