

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. No.14520-CII of 2016 and
C.R. No.3052 of 2016
Date of Decision.29.07.2016**

Dayanand

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Mahinder and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner/applicant.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty of this Court to withdraw the revision petition through C.M. No.14520-CII of 2016 on the ground that the issue regarding the court fee has already been framed by the trial Court and therefore, no cause of action survives. The application is duly supported by affidavit.

The application is allowed and the revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

July 29, 2016
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned No

Whether reportable No