

230

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.3048 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 01.06.2016**

Ripu Sooden and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Smt. Narshna

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Ms. Jagdeep Bains, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S.Manhas, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioners-tenant from the demised premises.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, states that the petitioners will not press this revision on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 31.05.2017 without forcing the respondent-landlady to press execution petition and will continue to pay the monthly contractual rent in advance by the 7th of

every month, and pay the other admissible charges regularly. He further undertakes to pay upto date arrears of rent, if any, by 15.07.2016.

Learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions, has also accepted this offer on the condition that the petitioners will file an undertaking to the above effect before the Executing Court by 15.07.2016, with the condition that in the event of any default, this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has accepted this condition.

Resultantly, the revision petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

June 01, 2016

pooja sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**