

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2899 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 23, 2016

Pushpa Devi & others

...Petitioners

Versus

Shimbu Dayal

...Respondent

(2)

CR No. 3236 of 2012

Date of Decision: November 23, 2016

Shimbu Dayal

...Petitioner

Versus

Pushpa Devi & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Santosh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners in CR No. 2899 of 2012 and
for the respondents in CR No.3236 of 2012.

None for the respondent in CR No. 2899 of 2012 and
for the petitioner in CR No.3236 of 2012.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Mr. Santosh Sharma, counsel for the petitioners-tenants in CR No.2899 of 2012, contends that since the *mesne profits* as assessed by this Court had not been deposited by the petitioners-tenants, execution proceedings were initiated by the respondent-landlord, on the basis of which, the possession of the demised premises has been taken by him rendering the revision petitions infructuous.

In view of the above, both the revision petitions stand disposed of as such.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

November 23, 2016

Harish

**Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**