

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.2962 of 2016

Date of Decision : 28.04.2016

Jatin Sethi and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Anurag Aggarwal

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Prabhjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the order dated 18.04.2016 declining an application of the petitioners-tenants for amendment of the written statement.

The eviction petition was filed by the respondent-landlord on the ground of non-payment of rent as well as personal necessity on 03.12.2012. Thereafter the petitioners-tenants filed a written statement on 14.05.2013. Almost two years thereafter the instant application for amendment of the written statement was filed on 24.04.2015 on the ground that previous counsel had omitted certain important facts. That application

has been rejected by the trial Court by holding that the trial has started and it has been filed after two years.

I see no reason to take a different view to that taken by the trial Court.

Revision petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 28, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE