

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 2700 of 2014.
Date of Decision : 07.01.2016.

Smt. Kiran @ Anuradha

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that statements of parties have been recorded in divorce petition filed between the parties.

In view of the above submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present civil revision petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

January 07, 2016.
kanchan

(PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL)
JUDGE