

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 286 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: March 14, 2016

Baljinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Ashok Kumar & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anna Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Ram Lal Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the parties submit that the matter has been compromised and therefore, the petitioner does not want to pursue the present revision petition and the applicant-respondent-landlords do not want to press their application for *mesne profits*.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the parties, the main revision is taken on board for hearing and the same alongwith application for *mesne profits* is permitted to be withdrawn as the matter has been compromised between the parties.

March 14, 2016

Harish

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**