

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**C.M.No.12378-CII of 2016 in/and
Civil Revision No. 2963 of 2015
Date of Decision: 25.7.2016**

Kulwinder Singh

--- Petitioner

versus

Karnail Singh and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Mr. Keshav Partap Singh, Advocate
for the applicant- petitioner**

**Mr.Vivek Salathia, Advocate
for non-applicant- respondent No.1**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Rekha Mittal, J.

Prayer in this application is for recalling order dated 30.5.2016 whereby the revision petition has been dismissed for non prosecution.

Counsel for non-applicant-respondent No. 1/plaintiff has submitted that the main suit of which Revision No. 2963 of 2015 is

an off shoot has matured for arguments and is now fixed for rebuttal and arguments on 27.7.2016.

Counsel for the applicant-petitioner/defendant has not disputed correctness of the statement made by counsel for respondent No. 1 but has submitted that his petition may disposed of on merits.

In view of the fact that the suit has already matured for final disposal, I do not think it to be a fit case where this Court should express any opinion on merits in regard to plea for interim injunction. Accordingly, the application filed by the applicant-petitioner stands disposed of.

Counsel for the parties have got no objection if a direction is issued to the trial court to dispose of the suit in a time bound manner. The trial court is directed to decide the suit within a period of three months from the date fixed i.e. 27.7.2016.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

25.7.2016
PARAMJIT