

**112**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2946 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of Decision : 28.04.2016**

Kiran Bala

..... Petitioner

Versus

Vinod Kumar and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate  
for the caveators-respondents.

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)**

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised premises.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that she does not wish to press this revision on merits and she is ready to vacate the said premises voluntarily without forcing the respondents to

press the execution in case reasonable time is granted for the same.

I find this to be a fair submission. In response to the offer made, the learned counsel for the respondents-landlords on instructions has stated that in case the petitioner-tenant file an undertaking before the Rent Controller within one month that she would vacate the premises voluntarily and give vacant physical possession to the respondents on or before 30.09.2016 without forcing the respondents to press execution application and will continue to pay rent as per the order of the appellate authority i.e. Rs.66,500/- p.m. in advance by the 7<sup>th</sup> of every month and will also pay other charges regularly and will also pay the up-to-date arrears of rent within one month from today, they would not oppose the continuation of relationship of tenant-landlord till that date.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that this offer is accepted to the petitioner. The respondents also undertake that on 30.09.2016 they will refund the entire security amount which may still be stand to the credit of the petitioner after adjusting all legitimate dues.

Resultantly, the revision petition stands disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file undertaking to the above effect. However, in case the said undertaking is not filed and in the event of any default, this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**April 28, 2016**

**( AJAY TEWARI )  
JUDGE**