

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2674 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: May 10, 2016

Satpal

...Petitioner

Versus

Jagdish Kaur

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Ms. Jaideep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Gurdial Singh Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Dhiman, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the petitioner states that the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous as the vacant possession of the demised premises has been handed over by the petitioner-tenant to the respondent-landlord which fact is not disputed by the counsel for the respondent-landlord.

The revision petition is disposed of as infructuous as per the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner.

All the pending miscellaneous applications also stand disposed of.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

May 10, 2016

Harish