

223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1) **Civil Revision No. 2933 of 2016 (O&M)**
Date of decision : May 30, 2016

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Dayalo and others Respondents

2) Civil Revision No. 2934 of 2016 (O&M)

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Bachani and others Respondents

3) Civil Revision No. 2935 of 2016 (O&M)

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Sona and others Respondents

4) Civil Revision No. 2937 of 2016 (O&M)

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Jagiro and others Respondents

5) Civil Revision No. 2938 of 2016 (O&M)

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Sandeep and others Respondents

6) **Civil Revision No. 2939 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Nirmala and others Respondents

7) **Civil Revision No. 2990 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Harmit Kaur and othersRespondents

8) **Civil Revision No. 3000 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Shanti and othersRespondents

9) **Civil Revision No. 3035 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Gulshan and othersRespondents

10) **Civil Revision No. 3036 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Mohindro and othersRespondents

11) **Civil Revision No. 3037 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Vir Singh and othersRespondents

12) **Civil Revision No. 3082 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Maya Ram and othersRespondents

13) **Civil Revision No. 3083 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Teja Singh and othersRespondents

14) **Civil Revision No. 3084 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Naib Singh and othersRespondents

15) **Civil Revision No. 3085 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Phool Singh and othersRespondents

16) **Civil Revision No. 3086 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Shanti Devi and othersRespondents

17) **Civil Revision No. 3237 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Labh Singh and othersRespondents

18) **Civil Revision No. 3239 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Surjito and othersRespondents

19) **Civil Revision No.3240 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Shiv Kumar and othersRespondents

20) **Civil Revision No. 3242 of 2016 (O&M)**

National Insurance Company LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Hakam Kaur and othersRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Paul S. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

LISA GILL, J.

This order shall dispose of abovesaid twenty civil revisions.

Learned counsel for the petitioner – National Insurance Company Limited informs that FAO No. 1913 of 2016 and other connected appeals arising out of the accident, which took place on 06.04.1992 i.e. the accident in question in the present petitions were filed by the insurance company. The claim petitions arising from the said accident were decided by a common award dated 06.11.2015 (the subject matter of challenge in these review petitions as well). The said appeals stand dismissed by this Court on 05.05.2016. It is fairly stated that the issue involved in the above said appeals as well as present revision petitions is the same.

In the instant matters, revision petitions have been filed because the liability involved was less than ₹10,000/- and in the appeals the liability was obviously more than ₹10,000/-. The issue involved is the same i.e. regarding the liability of the insurance company, the driving licence in question being fake.

While relying upon the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **National Insurance Company Limited versus Swaran Singh and others (2004) 3 SCC 297** and **Pepsu Road Transport**

Corporation versus National Insurance Company Limited (2013)

10 SCC 217, this Court has dismissed the appeals preferred by the insurance company. In view thereof, present revision petitions arising from the same impugned award necessarily have to meet the same fate.

All the abovesaid revision petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

May 30, 2016
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge