

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R No. 2671 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : February 11, 2016

Amit Bawa,

..... Petitioner

v.

Mahesh Kumar and another,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Amol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Rajesh Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. BR Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr. Poorva Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

During arguments, with the intervention of learned Senior Counsels, this dispute has been settled. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that he would vacate the demised premises voluntarily on or before 30.06.2017 without forcing the landlords-respondents to file an execution application.

Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions, states that in view of this statement the respondents would not insist on mesne profit

w.e.f February 2016, provided the petitioner continues to pay the rent at the contractual rate in advance by the 7th of every month and pay the other admissible charges, and files an undertaking to this effect before the executing Court within one month.

Learned counsel for the petitioner accepts this.

In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of.

February 11, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE