

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2664 of 2014
Date of decision : 16.05.2016

Jarnail Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Rakesh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Rajeshwar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and 6 to 10.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-plaintiff is aggrieved of the dismissal of the application seeking restoration of the suit having dismissed in default on 04.03.2008. The order dismissing the application filed under Order 9 Rule 9 CPC is appealable as per provision of Order 43 Rule 1(c) and (d) of the Code of Civil Procedure.

Accordingly, the petitioner-plaintiff is granted liberty to file the appeal along with application for condonation of delay for the period present revision petition was pending.

Revision petition is disposed of in aforementioned terms.

16.05.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**