

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R No. 2908 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 27, 2016

Subash Kapoor,

..... Petitioner

v.

Jagpal,

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rajan Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order of the Rent Controller dated 4.3.2016 closing the evidence of the petitioner-defendant by order, and the order dated 11.4.2016 declining the application for recalling the order dated 4.3.2016, and permitting him to examine a new, un-cited witness.

The Rent Controller has found that the petitioner has taken a period of more than three years and 40 opportunities to lead his evidence and now wants to examine a witness who was not originally cited. The Rent Controller, therefore, found that what the petitioner is seeking is to lead additional evidence in the garb of making an application for setting aside

the order closing the evidence. In these circumstances, the Rent Controller rejected the application. I find no reason to take a different view. Consequently, this petition is dismissed being devoid of any merit.

April 27, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE