

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2866 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision.11.05.2016**

Sh. Bhim Singh Rathee	Vs.	Petitioner
Mrs. Kavita and others		Respondents

2. C.R. No.2882 of 2016

Sh. Nirmal Singh	Vs.	Petitioner
Mrs. Kavita and others		Respondents

Present: Mr. Deepak Kundu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. In an action against the registered society, an ex-President seeks for impleadment to contend that there is a collusion between the plaintiff and the existing office bearers and the Court has observed that he is not any longer the person in the managing committee and therefore, he is not a person who can be heard in the proceedings. I cannot allow for an impleadment to be brought merely because he is a member of the society. If there are any provisions under the bye laws of the society which can challenge the action of the office bearers, the petitioner may resort to such remedy.

2. The orders passed by the Court below declining to make the impleadment are not erroneous in my view and I make no intervention. The revision petitions are dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 11, 2016

Pankaj*