

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.2857 of 2016

Date of Decision.26.04.2016

Sarita and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Rakesh Kumar and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no justification to complain that the written statement has not been received. If the written statement prepared by the counsel had been lost in transit and that was the justification for not filing the written statement on the day when the case was posted, the petitioner is at liberty to set out it as a ground in the application for receipt of written statement and the Court will pass appropriate order thereon.

2. The civil revision is unnecessary and it is disposed of as such with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 26, 2016
Pankaj*