

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.2812 of 2016

Date of Decision.25.04.2016

Manjit Singh Dhaliwal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Ranjan Sood and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The plaintiff is aggrieved that the court fee has been directed to be paid. It is meaningless to argue that ad valorem court fee could not have been directed to be paid when there was a prayer for recovery of possession. The court will determine the value of the property at the time when the court fee is paid. The value will be determined on any registered sale deed in the vicinity of the property which is the subject matter of suit or by applying for test through the bailiff appointed by the Court for collecting information regarding the valuation.

2. The revision petition is disposed of with the above observation, dispensing with notice to the respondents.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 25, 2016
Pankaj*