

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2808 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 28.04.2016**

Satpal and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Subhash Chander

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. R.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the caveator-respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioners-tenants from the demised premises.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he does not wish to press this revision on merits and he is ready to vacate the said premises voluntarily without forcing the respondent to

press the execution in case reasonable time is granted for the same.

I find this to be a fair submission. In response to the offer made, the learned counsel for the respondent-landlord on instructions has stated that in case the petitioners-tenants file an undertaking before the Rent Controller within one month that they would vacate the premises voluntarily and give vacant physical possession to the respondent on or before 31.10.2016 without forcing the respondent to press execution application and will continue to pay rent in advance by the 7th of every month and will also pay other charges regularly and will also pay the up-to-date arrears of rent within one month from today, he would not oppose the continuation of relationship of tenant-landlord till that date.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has stated that this offer is accepted to him.

Resultantly, the revision petition stands disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to file undertaking to the above effect. However, in case the said undertaking is not filed and in the event of any default, this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 28, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**