

246

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-2787-2015

Date of decision : 19.08.2016

Charan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Sahib Ram Sehrawat and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. A.K. Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.C. Nagpal, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Mr. S.C. Nagpal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2 submits that the present revision petition has arisen out from the disposal of the application filed under Order VII Rule 11 CPC. The Civil Suit bearing No.50 of 2012 has been decided on 23.03.2016 and the appeal thereof has also been dismissed. No further cause of action survives in the present revision petition.

In view of the aforementioned statement, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

19.08.2016
yogesh