

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 29.01.2016

1) C.R. No.277 of 2016 (O&M)

Dayal Inder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Charanjit Singh Randhawa and another

..... Respondents

2) C.R. No.2401 of 2015 (O&M)

Dayal Inder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Charanjit Singh Randhawa and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Mukand Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate
for the caveator/respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The petition bearing CR No.277 of 2016 has been filed against
the orders dated 18.12.2015 and 24.12.2015 whereby the Executing Court

has corrected the eviction order of its own and has issued warrant of possession against the petitioner.

The petition bearing CR No.2401 of 2015 has been filed against the order dated 6.2.2015 whereby the Rent Controller has allowed the petition under Section 13-B of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949.

After arguing for some time, the learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would not press this petition on merits and would vacate the premises voluntarily without forcing the respondents to file an execution if time is granted to him upto 30.09.2016 and the payment of past rent is not necessitated.

Learned counsel for the caveator-respondents has accepted this statement but states that this order should be conditional on two facts. Firstly, the petitioner file an undertaking to this effect before the Executing Court and secondly he should deposit the future rent w.e.f. February, 2016 in advance by the 7th of every month, failing which, he should not get this protection.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has accepted both these conditions.

In the circumstances, both the petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)

January 29, 2016
ashish

JUDGE