

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No. 2756 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 02.06.2016

Ravinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kamaljit Kaur through LRs

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manbir Singh Basra, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the
Courts below ordering eviction of the petitioner from the shop in dispute.

On 02.05.2016 the following order was passed:-

“After arguing for some time, learned counsel states that
he does not wish to press this revision on merits but since the
petitioner is running a business in the premises in dispute for
the last many years he is ready to vacate the said premises
voluntarily without forcing the respondents to press the
execution in case reasonable time is granted for the same.

I find this to be a fair submission.

Notice of motion.

Let the respondents be served through their counsel in the Executing Court by way of dasti process.

Adjourned to 24.05.2016.

In the meantime, the dispossession of the petitioner shall remain stayed.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

Today with the intervention of learned counsel this dispute has been settled. In response to the offer made, the learned counsel for the respondent-landlords on instructions has stated that in case the petitioner-tenant files an undertaking before the Rent Controller by 15.07.2016 that he would vacate the premises voluntarily and give vacant physical possession to the respondent on or before 31.05.2017 without forcing the respondents to press execution application and continue to pay the rent in advance by the 7th of every month and also pays other charges regularly and will also pay the up-to-date arrears of rent by 15.07.2016 they would not oppose the continuation of relationship of tenant-landlord till that date.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that this offer is accepted to him.

Resultantly, the revision petition stands disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file undertaking to the above effect. However, in case the said undertaking is not filed and in the event of any other default

this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil
miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

June 02, 2016
sunita