

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.M. NOS.7767-68 CII OF 2016 &

C.R. NO.2711 OF 2016

DATE OF DECISION: MAY 10, 2016

Chander Mohan

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Subhash Bansal

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

**Present: Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.7767 CII of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for.

C.M. No.7768 CII of 2016 & C.R. No.2711 of 2016

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already been evicted from the demised premises and, therefore, he would not be in a position to make a statement with regard to the period for which he may be permitted to continue in the premises.

I have considered the impugned orders passed by the Courts below and do not find any illegality in the same as the bonafide requirement for the demised shop has been duly proved by the respondent-landlord on the basis of which the petitioner-tenant stands evicted from the premises in question. The findings, as recorded by the Courts below being in accordance with the pleadings and the evidence brought on record, no interference by

this Court in the present petition is called for.

The revision petition, therefore, stands dismissed.

Since the main petition stands dismissed, C.M.7768 CII of 2016 for stay also stands dismissed.

May 10, 2016
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE