

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
09/03/2016 15:15
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 2601 of 2013(O&M)
Date of decision : March 4, 2016

Paramjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Davinder Kumar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Sarju Puri , Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M. S. Dhami, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1, 2,4,5,6 and 10.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the suit has been decreed, therefore the revision petition against the interim order passed during the pendency of the suit has rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

The revision petition is disposed of as having rendered infructuous.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 4 , 2016
archana