

**110**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2684 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of Decision : 02.05.2016**

Mukram Ali

..... Petitioner

Versus

Karambir Singh

..... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : Mr. D.K. Kaushal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)**

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised premises.

On 12.04.2016 the following order was passed:-

*“After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner states that he has instructions not to press this petition on*

*merits and the petitioner is ready to voluntarily vacate the demised premises within a reasonable time and would not force the respondent/landlord to press the execution application.*

*Notice of motion for 2.5.2016. Let the respondent be served by way of dasti process through his counsel in the executing court. To be shown in the urgent list.*

*Meanwhile, dispossession of the petitioner shall remain stayed.”*

Today with the intervention of learned counsel this dispute has been settled. In response to the offer made, the learned counsel for the respondent-landlord on instructions has stated that in case the petitioner-tenant files an undertaking before the Rent Controller within one month that he would vacate the premises voluntarily and give vacant physical possession to the respondent on or before 31.03.2017 without forcing the respondent to press execution application and will continue to pay rent in advance by the 7<sup>th</sup> of every month and will also pay other charges regularly and will also pay the up-to-date arrears of rent, if any, within one month from today, he would not oppose the continuation of relationship of tenant-landlord till that date.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that this offer is accepted to him.

Resultantly, the revision petition stands disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file undertaking to the above effect. However, in case the said undertaking is not filed and in the event of any default, this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**May 02, 2016**  
*ashish*

**( AJAY TEWARI )**  
**JUDGE**