

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R NO.2679 of 2016 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 06.05.2016**

Onkar Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Seema Bakshi

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. K. S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Kshetarpal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. M. S. Saini, Advocate,
for the caveator/respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed against the concurrent orders dated 29.10.2015 and 16.03.2016 passed by the Courts below, evicting the petitioner from the demised premises.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner will not press this petition on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 30.11.2016, without forcing the respondent to file an

execution petition and will continue to pay the monthly contractual rent in advance by 7th of every month, and pay the other admissible charges regularly. He further undertakes to pay up to date arrears of rent, if any, within a period of one month from today.

Learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions, has also accepted this offer on the condition that the petitioner will file an undertaking to the above said effect before the Executing Court within 15 days with the condition that in the event of any default, this petition should be deemed to be dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner accepted this condition.

The revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

MAY 06, 2015
shalini