

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2673 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision.12.04.2016**

Sunil Khunger

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Bhawana

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Jitender Singh Kundu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The revision petition is filed by the husband complaining that the amount of ₹4000/- ordered as maintenance to the wife is high. The wife complains that she is not being looked after and she has lost her job as Teacher due to family troubles. The counsel says that the petitioner is not earning now and he is unemployed. He shall employ himself in order that he may earn at least ₹4000/- to give maintenance to the wife.

2. I do not find any reason for intervention. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 12, 2016
Pankaj*