

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 2668 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.03.2016**

Randhir Singh and others

... Petitioners

versus

Bhagat Ram and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. B.S. Mann, Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

K.Kannan, J. (ORAL)

The application for amendment was for including a consequential relief of joint possession to the principal relief of declaration. I do not think that there could be any serious prejudice caused except that according to the defendants the application is unnecessarily delaying the case for disposal. The application is ordered subject to the payment of cost of Rs. 2,500/- to the contesting defendant within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of the copy of the order.

Plaintiffs shall not be entitled to give any additional evidence on the basis of amendment. The defendants shall be at liberty to file any additional pleadings in the manner contemplated under Order 8 Rule 9 CPC insofar as it is necessary to traverse for the amended portion of the plaint. The order already passed is set aside and the revision petition is allowed subject to the observations made above.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

30.03.2016

kv