

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2560 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision : 05.02.2016

Kurali Toll Bridge Co. Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. P.S. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Bansal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjay Joshi, Senior Counsel, Special Engagement-UOI.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Mr. P.S. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner submits that since time granted in the award is over, therefore, no cause of action survives in the present revision petition as the same has been rendered as infructuous.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, present revision petition is disposed of as infructuous.

05.02.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**