

233.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.27036-CII of 2015 in/and
Civil Revision No.2387 of 2014
Date of decision:05.02.2016**

Jangir Singh and others

... Petitioners

versus

Santo and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr.Vikas Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

CM No.27036-CII of 2015

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is
allowed and the petition is restored to file.

Civil Revision No.2387 of 2014

1. Counsel says that the application for impleadment was
dismissed in a pending suit but the suit itself has been disposed of
yesterday. The revision petition has become infructuous and
disposed of as such.

2. The petitioners are at liberty to prefer an appeal as
aggrieved persons or in the alternative if any appeal has been filed

**CM No.27036-CII of 2015 in/and
Civil Revision No.2387 of 2014**

- 2 -

by any party, they may move as such for impleadment and if such an application is filed, the appellate court will consider the same on its own merit unhampered by the dismissal of the petition before the trial court.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

05.02.2016
sanjeev