

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.2538 of 2013
Date of Decision.09.02.2016

Neelam RaniPetitioner

Vs.

Parminderjit SinghRespondent

Present: Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The counsel for the petitioner says that the revision petition may be disposed of as having become infructuous.
2. The revision petition is disposed of as such.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 09, 2016
Pankaj*