

241

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-263-2015

Date of decision : 03.03.2016

Ved Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

Satish Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Simble, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the suit has been decided and no cause of action survives in the present revision petition, accordingly, the revision petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed of, accordingly.

03.03.2016
yogesh

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**