

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.2611 of 2016

Date of Decision.11.04.2016

Shamsher Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Kashmir Singh and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The defendant is aggrieved that the plaintiff's witnesses PW1 to PW3 and the persons examined even before written statement being filed, have not been allowed to cross-examine by recalling them. The order already passed is set aside dispensing with notice to the respondents on condition that the defendant pays ₹10,000/- to the plaintiff as costs for the inconvenience caused and for delaying the proceedings for filing the written statement beyond the time of what was statutorily granted. The costs shall be paid within a period of one week from the date of receipt of copy of this order failing which the order already passed shall stand confirmed.

2. The impugned order is set aside and the civil revision is allowed on the above terms.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 11, 2016
Pankaj