

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2580 of 2016
Decided on: 08.04.2016

Harmander Singh Sandhu

.... Petitioner

versus

Preet Kamal & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr. R.K.Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

K.Kannan

It is needless to approach this Court only for consideration that one more opportunity shall be given to the petitioner to conclude his evidence. If the side is closed without affording full opportunity to give further evidence, the option open will be apply to the same Court and give details of why he could not produce all the evidence on that day when it was posted and seek permission for recalling of the order.

If such a prayer is made with appropriate reasons, the trial Court itself will consider the same and pass orders in the light of the procedure prescribed in ***Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others, 2013 (1) PLR 404.***

The revision petition is disposed of making no intervention, giving the liberty to the petitioner to approach the trial Court itself for suitable orders.

April 08, 2016
sonia

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE