

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.2574 of 2016

Date of Decision.08.04.2016

Narinder Madher

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Pardeep Kumar and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. D.R. Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has grievance that his side was closed when the witness did not come. The grievance is that on three earlier occasions when the witnesses had come, there was no cross-examination by the defendant and on one occasion when he did not come, the Court has closed the evidence. The order passed by the Court below is set aside on payment of costs of ₹5000/- within a period of one week from the date of receipt of copy of this order, failing which the order passed already will stand.

2. The revision petition is disposed of dispensing with notice to the respondents.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 08, 2016
Pankaj*