

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.11428 of 1993

Date of Decision:- 2.6.2016.

M/s MOI Engg. Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

The Labour Court, Patiala and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Arun Nehra, Advocate and
Mr. Sant Kashyap, Advocate for
the petitioner in CWP-11428-1993 and
for respondent No.2 in CWP-593-1994.

Mr. Pravinder Singh Chauhan, Advocate for
respondent No.2 in CWP-11428-1993 and
for the petitioner in CWP-593-1994.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.

1.) By this order CWP No.11428 of 1993 and CWP No.593 of 1994 shall be disposed of. Facts are being extracted from CWP No.11428 of 1993.

2.) In the last occasion, petitioner-M/s MOI Engg. Ltd. was directed to furnish calculation of interest @ 9% per annum in respect of payment of ₹ 1,00,000/-. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted a communication of the petitioner-Management. As per

the said communication, the calculation of interest on ₹ 1,00,000/- @ 9% is ₹ 2,10,300/-. The petitioner-Management is directed to pay the above amount to the workman within a period of three months from today. The workman is present in Court and he has furnished his Account No.10818844283 (State Bank of India Parliament Street Branch, New Delhi). The petitioner-Management is directed to deposit the above amount directly in the workman's Bank Account within a period of three months. If the amount is not remitted within three months, the workman is entitled to cost of ₹ 10,000/-.

3.) Petitions stand disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

June 2, 2016.

sandeep sethi