

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2566 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 12.04.2016**

Ashok Gupta

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jeet Kaur and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. C.B. Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yash Dev Kaushik, Advocate
for the caveator-respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised premises.

After arguing for some time, on instructions from Mr. Sachin Gupta, son of the petitioner, the learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner will not press this petition on merits but since the petitioner is running a business in the premises in dispute he is ready to settle this dispute. Therefore, a period of two years should be granted to him to vacate the premises voluntarily without forcing the respondents-

landlords to press the execution petition.

Learned counsel for the caveator-respondents states that in principle he has instructions to accept the prayer for reasonable time but the period of two years is too excessive since the landlords requires the premises for their own use and has stated that the petitioner should vacate the premises on or before 31.12.2016.

Ultimately what has been settled between the parties is that the petitioner will pay the entire arrears of rent, if any, to the respondents-landlords within a period of one month and the respondents will permit him to retain the premises in dispute till 31.03.2017. Further the petitioner will continue to pay rent in advance by the 7th of every month and will also pay the other charges regularly. Further it has been agreed that the petitioner will file an undertaking before the Rent Controller within 15 days that he would vacate the premises in dispute voluntarily on or before 31.03.2017 without forcing the landlord to press the execution application and will continue to pay the rent in advance by the 7th of every month and will also pay other charges regularly.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms. However, in default of any of the above conditions this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 12, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**