

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R No. 2548 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 11, 2016

Zile Singh,

..... Petitioner

v.

Smt. Geeta Devi,

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. **Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

During arguments, with the intervention of learned counsel, this dispute has been settled. Counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that he would vacate the demised premises voluntarily on or before 31.12.2016 without forcing the landlord-respondent to file an execution application.

Counsel for the respondent, on instructions, states that the respondent accepts this offer subject to the petitioner paying monthly rent in advance by 7th of every month and to keep paying the other admissible charges also, and filing an undertaking to this effect before the executing

Court within one month.

Counsel for the petitioner accepts this.

In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of.

February 11, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE