

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No. 251 of 2016

Date of decision: 15.01.2016

Ashok Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Darshan Kumar and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Kunal Mulwani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for a direction to the Executing Court to expedite the decision on the execution application.

It is stated that the petitioner obtained an order of eviction against the respondent No.1 in the year 2014 and immediately filed an execution application but the respondent No.2 who was a stranger has managed to stonewall the execution by filing numerous applications, the

copies of which have been annexed as Annexures P-5, P-7, P-9, P-11 & P-13.

Having gone through the record, I am of the opinion that the present is a case where the prayer is justified and in the circumstances the Executing Court is directed to decide the execution application expeditiously but in any case on or before 31.03.2016.

The petition stands allowed in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also disposed of.

January 15, 2016

Parveen Sharma

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**